



FOLLOW-UP INSPECTION REPORT

ICC Evaluation Service, LLC Western Regional Office 3060 Saturn Street, Suite 100 Brea, CA 92821 tel: 562.699.0543 fax: 562.695.4694 www.icc-es.org

Form Q-24

Date of Inspection: 06/07/2017				
ICC-ES Evaluation Report Number*: BOCA 93-36.02 *Please fill out a separate Q-24 for each master/follower report number as applicable.				
Reissue Date of Evaluation Report*: 8/2003 *This date can be found on the upper right-hand corner of the first page of the evaluation report published on the ICC-ES website.				
Revision or Correction Date of Evaluation Report*: N/A *This date can be found on the upper right-hand corner or at the bottom of website.	of the first page of the evaluation repo	rt published on the ICC-ES		
Name of Report Holder: PORTLAND STONE WARE COM	PANY, INC.			
Name of Manufacturing Facility: PORTLAND STONE WAR	RE COMPANY, INC.			
Manufacturer's Representative Name and Title: Ms. Donna	a Morgan, President			
Manufacturer's Representative E-Mail Address: dmorgan@Phone Number: 978-450-7272	portlandstoneware.com			
Address of Inspected Facility: 50 McGrath Road Street	Dracut City	MA 16124 State		
Country and Province, if outside of the United States: n/a				
Names of Products Inspected*: THE PORTLAND COLUM *Be sure to identify products using names provided in the evaluation repo				
Signature of Manufacturer's Representative: Kirsten Schul In lieu of a handwi	er ritten signature, you may type your na	Date: <u>6/7/17</u> me above.		
Name of Agency Conducting Inspection: QAI Laboratories				
Name of Inspector: Steven Harrington				
Inspector's E-Mail Address: sharrington@qai.org 951-6772		Phone Number: <u>860-</u>		
Inspector's Time of Arrival: 12:50pm	Inspector's Time of Departure	e: <u>3:00pm</u>		
Was product being produced at the time of inspection?	Yes ⊠ No □			
Signature of Inspector: Steven Harrington	rupa vaur nama abaya	Date: <u>6/7/17</u>		
In lieu of a handwritten signature, you may t	1			
Name of ICC-ES Staff Person Reviewing This Report:	APPROVED By Jay Lee at 3:50	_ Date:		

(For ICC-ES Internal Use)

Instructions

Introduction: The purposes of the follow-up plant inspection are to verify that the product being produced is consistent with the product used in the qualifying tests and recognized in the ICC-ES evaluation report or listing; that the documented quality system continues to meet ICC-ES requirements; and that the quality system is effectively implemented.

The Plant Inspection: The inspector should verify that documents and processes (including the current quality documentation) observed at the listee or report holder's facility during the inspection are consistent with the information provided by ICC-ES. A simple check in the Yes/No boxes may not suffice; if needed, use the comments sections or use an attached document for your remarks or explanations. The inspector should, to the extent possible, inspect the product recognized in the ICC-ES evaluation report or listing to assess conformance to specifications as described in the ICC-ES evaluation report or listing and ICC-ES supporting documents. Additionally, the inspector must use the ICC-ES supporting documents, the manufacturer's current quality documentation and operating procedures, and the manufacturing process records, to evaluate the implementation and effectiveness of the facility's quality management system. If there are questions regarding which documents to verify, please contact ICC-ES (inspections@icc-es.org).

The Report: The inspector will complete this report during the inspection. If there is a nonconformity, the nonconformity will be detailed in the inspection report, and a Corrective Action Request (CAR) will be issued. CARs must clearly state what is required by the ICC-ES Acceptance Criteria for Quality Documentation (AC10) and by the manufacturer's documented quality system, and what the inspector actually found. This Follow-up Inspection Report must be signed by the manufacturer's representative and by the inspector. A copy of this report, and any CARs, must be given to the manufacturer's representative (and/or the report holder or listee, if the manufacturer and the report holder or listee are different) at the conclusion of the inspection, and a copy must be forwarded to ICC-ES.

Resolution of CARS: The manufacturer must respond to each CAR within 30 days of the inspection. CARs must be resolved by the manufacturer (or the report holder or listee, if the manufacturer and the report holder or listee are different) to the satisfaction of ICC-ES. ICC-ES reserves the right to require another follow-up inspection, to confirm corrective actions, when deemed necessary.

REVIEW OF NONCONFORMANCE(S) FROM PREVIOUS INSPECTION

Reviewed effectiveness of correction plan for nonconformance(s) issued during previous inspection?				No			
Is the implementation of the resolution(s) satisfactory?			;	No			
Is additional follow-up required? (please provide a comment if additional follow-up is required)				No ⊠			
Com	ments: No CARs		,				
	PART A – PRODUCT VERIFICATION						
1.	Are the manufacturer's quality manual and operating procedures consistent with the quality documentation submitted to ICC-ES? Note any discrepancies and provide applicable copies.	Yes ⊠		No			
Com	ments: Reviewed Quality Control Manual - Portland Columns - 93.36.02 - June 6, 2017 (Q	CM)					
2.	Are the manufacturer's documented procedures, for inspection or testing of incoming materials, being carried out? Are the procedures consistent with the quality documents submitted to ICC-ES?	Yes ⊠ Yes ⊠		No No			
Comments: Reviewed QCM Sect. 2.2, reviewed mill certs on steel and mill test on cement, visually inspect column steel and potentially reject. Mill Test Results dated 4/21/17 reviewed.							
	Is this manufacturer conducting inspections and tests, as required in the quality documentation, for in-process quality control? Are these inspections and tests sufficient to ensure consistency of product quality? Are the procedures and tests consistent with what is described in the quality documents submitted to ICC-ES?	Yes X Yes	No 	N/A			
3.		⊠ Yes	No				
Comments: Concrete batching process alarms based on out of spec weights of materials; constant batch formula prevents mix variation. Biannual concrete strength testing by independent company.							
4.	Is the manufacturer conducting final inspections and tests, prior to final approval and labeling of the finished product? Do these inspections or tests ensure that the product receiving the label complies with the applicable specifications and design values?	Yes ⊠ Yes ⊠		No No			
Comments: Final visual inspection during painting and labeling.							
5.	Using the identification that is applied to the finished product, conduct a traceability study by taking a finished product and tracing it back to the production and quality control records. Is the traceability adequate?	Yes ⊠		No			
Comments: Each column label contains mfg. date - traceable to Coumn Production Form and concrete batching and raw material certificates.							

Form Q-24 Inspection Report Page 4 of 6 Does this facility presently label product for private label listees? Yes No 6a. \boxtimes If yes, please complete Section 6b.

List the name of each private label listee for which there is labeling with the ICC-ES report number and/or mark. (A list of authorized listees appears below the report holder's name on the evaluation report)

6b.

Comments:				
	Is the product labeling consistent with what is described in the quality documentation	Yes ⊠	No	
6c.	Is the product labeling consistent with what is described in the "Identification" section of the evaluation report or listing? (Verify that these guidelines apply to all products labeled with the ICC-ES report number or mark.)	Yes ⊠	No	

Comments: Listing, QCM Sect. 2.1.4 were the same as the label on completed product.

PART B - QUALITY SYSTEM VERIFICATION

AC10 Section	AC10 REQUIREMENTS		QUALITY SYSTEM IMPLEMENTED?		
2.1.2	Is the facility street address, telephone number and contact person, as noted in the documentation, correct?	Yes ⊠	No		
Comment	s: QCM Page 1				
2.1.3	Is the manufacturer reviewing the quality system documentation annually? Is there a revision log included in the quality documentation that is kept current and dated? (If the date of the quality documentation provided by ICC-ES for the follow-up inspection is different from the date of the quality documentation at the manufacturing plant, or if revisions have been made to the quality documentation, please provide to ICC-ES a copy of the revision record with an explanation of the changes that were made.)	Yes ⊠ Yes ⊠	No No		
Comments: Review Log - last change 6/6/17 review and update key personnel					
2.1.6	Is the product flowchart or the description of production methods, as contained in the manufacturer's quality documentation, representative of the actual production flow and process?	Yes ⊠	No		
Comments: QCM, Sect. 2.1.6					
2.1.7	ICC-ES must be notified of any significant product changes so that those changes may be evaluated and documented. Does the quality documentation have procedures to notify ICC-ES and other appropriate parties of any product changes? Has the product changed significantly since the last inspection? If yes, describe the change in the comments section below.	Yes ⊠ Yes □	No □ No ⊠		
Comments: QCM, Sect. 2.1.7					

Form Q-24 Inspection Report

Page 5 of 6

2.1.8	Is the organizational chart up-to-date, and are the duties and responsibilities of key positions in the quality program identified?		No		
Comment	s: QCM, Sect. 2.1.8				
2.1.9	Are the products packaged and stored per the manufacturer's quality documentation and operating procedures?				
Comments: QCM, Sect. 2.1.9					
	Are records of all significant complaints about the product being kept?	Yes ⊠	No		
2.1.10	Is appropriate action being taken with respect to such complaints?	Yes ⊠	No		
	Are the actions being documented?	Yes ⊠	No		
Comment	s: QCM, Sect. 2.1.10				
2.5	Are nonconforming materials segregated from conforming materials as directed in this manufacturer's quality manual and operating procedures?		No		
Comments: QCM, Sect. 2.5					
2.6.1	Does the manufacturer maintain a list that includes all the critical measuring and test equipment?	Yes ⊠	No		
	Does the equipment identified on this list have current calibration records?	Yes ⊠	No		
Comments: Scales show current calibration traceable to NIST					
2.7.1	Is the manufacturer actually using the forms, checklists and reports identified in the manufacturer's quality documentation to record manufacturing and quality process metrics?	Yes ⊠	No		
Comments: Use Columns Production Form for documenting manufacture of columns. Reviewed recent forms.					
2.7.2	Are the quality records as noted in item 2.7.1, above (forms, checklists and reports), approved by responsible personnel as required by the manufacturer's quality documentation?	Yes ⊠	No		
Comments:					
2.7.3	Are all manufacturing and quality records maintained for a minimum of two years? (Examples are reports resulting from the manufacturer's own tests and inspections.)	Yes ⊠	No		
Comments: Records kept for 7 years					

Summary of the Inspection Inspector should note general observations on the manufacturer's quality system, facility and product manufacturing process. (Include details as appropriate.) Personnel were very cooperative during inspection. Records were provided for review.					

CORRECTIVE ACTION REQUESTS (CARs)

Findings should be entered in the blocks provided below, and defined as falling into one of four categories:

- **Major CAR** A major nonconformity (e.g., change of key raw materials, significantly different manufacturing process, different final product specifications) that must be resolved to the satisfaction of the ICC-ES technical staff.
- **Minor CAR** A relatively minor nonconformity (e.g., equipment out of calibration, changes to forms, inadequately trained personnel) that can be resolved to the satisfaction of the inspector, in most cases, without much difficulty.
- Concern A weakness in the quality system that needs to be corrected to head off the possibility of future CARs.
- **Comment** A suggestion for improvement.

CARs must be addressed within 30 days of the inspection. The manufacturer or report holder should respond with a written report on the corrective actions taken, and objective evidence of the action. Objective evidence could be in the form of revised documents, new documents, photographs, etc.

Findings (check the category, and describe the details of the finding. Use a separate sheet if necessary):

CAR NO.	Major CAR	Minor CAR	Concern	Comment
Comments: No CAF	Rs			
CAR NO.	Major CAR	Minor CAR	Concern	Comment
Comments:				
CAR NO.	Major CAR	Minor CAR	Concern	Comment
Comments:				
CAR NO.	Major CAR	Minor CAR	Concern	Comment
Comments:				
CAR NO.	Major CAR	Minor CAR	Concern	Comment
Comments:				